

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa1 rating to St. Charles' (IL) \$4.8M GO Bonds, Series 2013B

Global Credit Research - 24 Oct 2013

Aa1 applies to \$95M of post-sale GOULT debt

ST. CHARLES (CITY OF) IL
Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships)
IL

Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
-------	--------

General Obligation Bonds, Series 2013B	Aa1
--	-----

Sale Amount \$4,800,000

Expected Sale Date 10/31/13

Rating Description General Obligation

Moody's Outlook

Opinion

NEW YORK, October 24, 2013 —Moody's Investors Services has assigned a Aa1 rating to the City of St. Charles' (IL) \$4.8 million General Obligation Bonds, Series 2013B. Moody's maintains a Aa1 rating on the city's outstanding general obligation debt, affecting \$95 million post-sale. The current issuance is secured by the city's general obligation unlimited tax pledge. Proceeds from the Series 2013B bonds will be used for various capital, electric, and wastewater projects.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Assignment of the Aa1 rating reflects the city's sizeable, mature and affluent tax base; sound financial operations supported by solid operating reserves despite some declines in operating revenues; and a slightly above average debt burden with limited future borrowing plans.

STRENGTHS

- Overall stable economy located in Chicago (GO rated A3/negative outlook) metropolitan area
- Significant revenue raising flexibility afforded by Home Rule status
- Strong financial operations and solid reserve levels; alternate liquidity in Capital Fund

CHALLENGES

- Dependence on economically-sensitive sales tax revenues
- Structurally imbalanced operations in some of the city's TIF funds

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

MATURE AFFLUENT SUBURB LOCATED NEAR CHICAGO

Located in Kane (GO rated Aa1) and DuPage (GO rated Aaa) counties, St. Charles experienced assessed valuation growth through 2008, driven by its proximity to Chicago and surrounding metropolitan employment centers. Reflecting the broader real estate downturn, the city's full valuation has declined slightly less than 6.0%

annually from 2010 to 2012 bringing the five year average annual growth rate to a negative 2.6%. While the city is almost fully built-out and future development efforts will continue to focus on redevelopment, two single family residential developments are expected to be completed over the next year. The city's formerly vacant Pier 1 Distribution center is now occupied by a printing company that has added approximately 180 new jobs. Q Center LLC and Pheasant Run Resort and Convention Center continue to have a significant presence in the city. Accenture Consulting currently leases the Q Center campus for use as an employee training facility. Residents enjoy numerous employment opportunities within the Chicago metro area. The city's unemployment rate of 7.7% for July 2013 is significantly lower than the rates for state (9.6%) and on parity with that of the nation (7.7%) for the same time period. Income indices exceed national medians with per capita and median family incomes at 153.8% and 153.2%, respectively, according to the American Community Survey five-year estimates for 2006 - 2010.

STRONG FINANCIAL OPERATIONS SUPPORTED BY SOLID RESERVES; GENERAL FUND SUPPORT FOR TIF BACKED DEBT EXPECTED TO CONTINUE

The city's financial operations remain sound following prudent and proactive management practices during the economic downturn. The city continues to be supported by solid operating reserves, a demonstrated history of reacting quickly to budgetary challenges, significant alternate liquidity outside of the General Fund and a high degree of revenue raising flexibility inherent in being a home rule municipality. The city's General Fund benefits from a diverse composition of revenue sources, both discretionary and non-discretionary. The largest source of revenue historically has been sales tax (both state shared and Home Rule) accounting for 37.7% of General Fund revenues in fiscal 2012. Property taxes are the second largest revenue stream at 32.2%, with the remaining revenue sources comprised of state shared income tax receipts, charges for services, as well as hotel, utility, and telecommunication taxes. Despite revenue pressures, the city's General Fund balance continues to trend well above the city's official policy of maintaining 25% of budgeted expenditures in reserves. At fiscal yearend 2012, the total General Fund balance has reserved \$3.0 million in advances to other funds and assigned \$3.0 million for Community Development debt service. Outside of these reservations, the General Fund's unassigned balance was a solid \$16.2 million, or 41.9% of revenues at the end of fiscal 2012.

Beginning fiscal 2008, the city began experiencing declines in sales tax revenues along with other economically sensitive revenue categories. In response, the city enacted a 2% across the board budget reduction beginning in fiscal 2009. The city reduced its operating budget by approximately 12% over two years (fiscals 2009 and 2010) to address revenue shortfalls and remain structurally balanced. Expenditure cuts included reductions in head count through voluntary retirement and attrition, as well as a wage freeze for all employees. Officials also increased other revenues, including a new alcohol beverage tax effective June 1, 2010. More recently, the city posted a moderate \$120,000 surplus at the close of fiscal 2012 despite the loss of \$1.8 million in revenue from an expired fire protection services contract with a nearby district. The surplus was primarily driven by a continued rebound in economically sensitive revenues, with sales tax collections increasing by approximately \$535,000 or 3.8%, and hotel occupation taxes increasing by approximately \$137,000 or 8.5%. For fiscal 2013, which ended on April 30, 2013, city officials report that, despite budgeting for a sizeable operating deficit the General Fund posted a \$1.46 million surplus due in part to project funds not expended. The city is budgeting for a deficit in fiscal 2014 due to the expenditure of these project funds. Over the two year period, net of project funds, the city should have surplus operations of approximately \$700,000.

In addition to the General Fund, the city has alternate liquidity in its Capital Projects Fund. While these funds are earmarked for capital projects, they are available for General Fund operations should it become necessary, further strengthening the city's reserve levels. Providing additional financial flexibility to the city's credit profile is its status as a Home Rule unit of government. Home Rule governments in Illinois are not subject to levy limits, have no statutory debt ceiling, and can impose a variety of taxes, including sales, utility, hotel, and food/beverage.

The city oversees three enterprise operations: water, sewer and electric. The city's electric enterprise fund had a negative unrestricted net asset position of \$3.9 million but a positive cash position of \$616,000 at the close of fiscal 2012. Officials report rate increases for the electric fund in fiscals 2012 and 2013 following a rate study should result in positive operations in 2013. Additionally, the city has six tax increment financing districts. As of April 30, 2013, the city's TIF District #2, #3, #4, and #5 funds had a total deficit balance of approximately \$3 million and owe the General Fund approximately \$3.7 million, primarily due to annual transfers to cover debt service payments as increment revenues are insufficient. Officials expect the General Fund will be repaid with future tax increment revenues as development occurs.

DEBT BURDEN EXPECTED TO REMAIN MANAGEABLE SUPPORTED BY NON-LEVY SOURCES; AVERAGE PENSION LIABILITY

The city's direct and overall debt burdens, at 2.3% and 5.3% of estimated full value, respectively, are somewhat

above average but manageable given significant use of alternate revenue sources for repayment. Over 96% of the city's general obligation debt is paid from the city's various operating revenue streams and do not require support from the city's debt service property tax levy, which is abated on an annual basis. As a result, the city consistently makes large transfers from the General Fund to the Debt Service Fund to support debt service costs. None of the city's debt is in variable rate mode and the city is not party to any interest rate swap agreements.

Moody's adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) for the city, under our methodology for adjusting reported pension data, was \$78.7 million as of 2011. This includes the city's liability under the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund (IMRF), a statewide plan, and two single employer defined benefit pension plans for its public safety workers. This liability is equal to an average 1.28 times operating revenues, compared to a median ratio of less than 1.0 times for rated local governments. Moody's ANPL reflects certain adjustments we make to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the city's reported contribution information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities.

WHAT WOULD CHANGE THE RATING - UP

- Substantial growth in the city's tax base
- Improved performance across the city's TIF districts

WHAT WOULD CHANGE THE RATING - DOWN

- Significant erosion of the city's tax base and/or declines in the city's demographic profile
- Significant deterioration in General Fund reserves
- Ongoing General Fund support for the city's TIF districts that negatively impacts the city's operations or reserves

KEY STATISTICS

2010 Census population: 32,974 (18.0% increase from 2000)

2012 Full Valuation: \$4.2 billion (2.6% decrease from 2007)

2012 Full Value per capita (estimate): \$125,000

Direct debt: 2.3%

Overall debt: 5.3%

Fiscal 2012 General Fund balance: \$22.4 million (57.8% of revenues)

2006 - 2010 American Community Survey per capita income as a % of U.S.: 153.8%

2006 - 2010 American Community Survey median family income as a % of U.S.: 153.2%

Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability: 1.28 times operating revenues

Post-sale general obligation debt outstanding: \$95 million

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY USED

The principal methodology used in this rating was General Obligation Bonds Issued by US Local Governments published in April 2013. Please see the Credit Policy page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where

the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on www.moodys.com.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see www.moodys.com for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Analysts

Jeffery Yorg
Lead Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Genevieve Nolan
Backup Analyst
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Rachel Cortez
Additional Contact
Public Finance Group
Moody's Investors Service

Contacts

Journalists: (212) 553-0376
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
USA

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2013 Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE

VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources Moody's considers to be reliable, including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process. Under no circumstances shall MOODY'S have any liability to any person or entity for (a) any loss or damage in whole or in part caused by, resulting from, or relating to, any error (negligent or otherwise) or other circumstance or contingency within or outside the control of MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees or agents in connection with the procurement, collection, compilation, analysis, interpretation, communication, publication or delivery of any such information, or (b) any direct, indirect, special, consequential, compensatory or incidental damages whatsoever (including without limitation, lost profits), even if MOODY'S is advised in advance of the possibility of such damages, resulting from the use of or inability to use, any such information. The ratings, financial reporting analysis, projections, and other observations, if any, constituting part of the information contained herein are, and must be construed solely as, statements of opinion and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, sell or hold any securities. Each user of the information contained herein must make its own study and evaluation of each security it may consider purchasing, holding or selling. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or

Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for retail clients to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.